

Imitator 187

Chapter 187: Not Guilty Verdict

In the audience lounge.

[Please all audience members conduct a 'consistency vote' regarding the judgment panel's behavior in the second judgment room.]

[Voting result: x√x√Vxx√xx]

This voting result showed some divergence.

For players who believed Fei Xiong's sentencing was too light, choosing 'disciplinary action' both times was reasonable and consistent, so they gave √.

But for those players who believed Fei Xiong's sentencing already matched his crimes, they shouldn't have added 'disciplinary action' judgment but should have added 'not guilty' judgment.

The concept of 'consistency' also had some ambiguous space and would be affected by each audience member's subjective judgment but no matter what, after this voting result came out, it still didn't trigger any obvious special mechanisms.

...

Passing through the long curved corridor again, everyone arrived at the entrance to the third judgment room.

On the door was another new question.

[As parents of a newborn, which of the following can be done?]

[A: Kiss the baby.]

[B: Help the baby urinate/defecate.]

[C: Stop the baby from eating their hands.]

[D: Sometimes let the baby sleep on their stomach.]

Jiang He's expression became even more unpleasant, "This really isn't a multiple choice question? Three of these can't be done?"

"I can eliminate option B. I remember this seems to be a mistake elderly people might commonly make when taking care of children. Newborns cannot be helped to urinate/defecate."

They had no more chances to ask the audience. Which of the remaining options was the correct answer could only be guessed.

Some people looked at Chen Xin and Fei Xiong, expecting the two of them to know the answer.

But obviously, Chen Xin wasn't yet 20 years old, and a domestic abuser like Fei Xiong most likely hadn't done any childcare work either, so they couldn't give clear reference opinions.

Everyone could only discuss freely in a jumble of voices.

"No matter what, choosing A should be fine, right? Don't parents kiss in every TV drama?"

"Stopping the baby from eating their hands, this isn't okay either? Won't there be bacteria from eating hands?"

"Option D looks like the least doable, right? Sleeping on the stomach definitely affects physical health."

Everyone briefly discussed but still had no clue whatsoever.

They finally decided to choose C.

Kissing the baby looked too correct so they didn't dare choose it. As for helping with urination/defecation, newborns had diapers so shouldn't need it. Moreover, Jiang He had already said this was very likely a wrong option.

Stopping the baby from eating their hands, then giving them a pacifier instead, should also be OK, right?

[Unfortunately, the answer is incorrect.]

[The correct answer is: D]

[Remaining childbirth fund: 187,000]

Having failed to obtain the last sum of childbirth fund, everyone pushed open the door somewhat dejectedly and entered the third judgment room.

Still a female criminal.

[As a taxi driver, had an intense quarrel with a male passenger over fare issues and deliberately took the wrong route to send the male passenger back to the original location. During the process, the male passenger jumped from the car causing unconsciousness, ultimately resulting in upper right limb paralysis, constituting grade five disability.]

[Judgment result: Compensated male passenger 20,000 yuan, no criminal liability.]

However, compared to previous criminals, this female player had one difference: her mouth wasn't sealed by a mechanism and she could speak.

"Help!" In her haste, she quickly called for help to Jiang He and the others.

Jiang He looked at everyone, "I think it should be not guilty."

Li Jiang nodded very straightforwardly, "No problem, I agree."

Seeing no one objected, Jiang He naturally struck the gavel.

"Not guilty!"

They were deducted 10,000 childbirth fund, but this time, the mechanisms directly unlocked completely without causing any harm to this female player.

She was both surprised and delighted, repeatedly thanking everyone.

Jiang He didn't say much, just comforted her, "It's okay. This wasn't your fault to begin with."

[Remaining childbirth fund: 177,000]

The entire team had expanded to 8 people, 6 women and 2 men.

Jiang He thought about it. The next male most likely also wouldn't have serious crimes. Whether it was not guilty or disciplinary action, the final gender ratio would be 6 to 3, which was completely acceptable.

Six women sharing mechanism 1, three men sharing mechanism 2. Judging from the current amount of childbirth fund, it should be enough to achieve the game clearance goal.

However, arriving at the other half of the judgment room, everyone was stunned.

Because there was no one inside. The mechanism on the wall was empty, just locking air.

[As a taxi driver, didn't hear the female passenger's inquiry, causing the female passenger to accidentally die jumping from the car.]

[Judgment result: Sentenced to 1 year imprisonment, probation 1 year, for causing death through negligence.]

Jiang He felt very puzzled. She looked somewhat unwillingly at all corners of this judgment room, "Where's the criminal?"

Obviously, in the third judgment room, the God's Imitator had played a joke on everyone.

There was no male criminal but the judgment still had to proceed.

Jiang He looked at Li Jiang, then at Yang Yuting.

Neither of them spoke, but their attitudes should be very clear and not difficult to guess.

Li Jiang definitely leaned toward judging not guilty, while Yang Yuting didn't have particularly strong opinions about how to judge specifically. Her only requirement was that both cases use the same judgment.

If the previous one was not guilty, then the next one should also be not guilty.

If the previous one was disciplinary action, then the next one should also be disciplinary action.

But now the problem arose. The previous female driver was judged not guilty. If this side was also judged not guilty, it would be equivalent to spending 10,000 childbirth fund but saving air.

Because there was no actual male criminal, they couldn't share the number of mechanism uses for everyone.

These 10,000 childbirth fund points would be thrown away for nothing.

Although having an extra 10,000 deducted currently would still be enough uses of the mechanisms, what if probability issues caused failure to successfully create once? There would be no margin for error.

Moreover, every extra 5,000 childbirth fund points could reduce the difficulty of the mechanisms. They couldn't just waste it so casually.

If they executed the death penalty, they should still be able to gain 10,000, but judging from the crimes, executing death penalty for such a case couldn't be justified no matter what, right?

If they had really chosen to kill all before, then forcibly killing here would barely make sense.

But they had after all saved quite a few people, among whom were quite a few whose crimes were much heavier than this male driver's.

Jiang He was silent for a long time, then could only heavily strike the gavel on the judgment platform, making the only choice.

"Disciplinary action!"

Not guilty or death penalty were both unacceptable options. They could only choose disciplinary action.

Spikes also extended from the mechanism, but because there was no one, it just went through the fixed process and automatically unlocked.

Afterward, Jiang He explained, "The results of these two cases aren't the same. One resulted in disability from jumping from the car without death, while the other directly caused death.

"So they can't adopt completely identical judgment standards."

Obviously, these words weren't just for the inner field players to hear, but also for the audience to hear.

Judging from the mechanism of 'King's Judgment,' judges could actively explain the reasons and motivations for their judgments, which could to some extent reverse the audience's views.

If this game similarly had an evaluation mechanism, then giving explanations would be somewhat better.

Because similar evaluation mechanisms would definitely include lie detection. Many times, audience members would also waver between 'just' or 'unjust.' Giving explanations couldn't possibly convince

everyone, but as long as it changed the views of a small portion of the audience, it could also improve the evaluation.